

Brian Fenstermacher



My wife and I have been owners in White Horse Park since 2010. We spend a large amount of our summer at White Horse Park and have enjoyed it tremendously. I served on the WHP Board for 3 years (two years as Secretary and one year as President). I work for Hitachi Medical system as a Software Engineering Manager for the MRI amplifier division. I am very loyal and have worked for the same company for 29 years. At my church I have held the position of President, Vice President, and have been the Treasurer for the past 8 years. I am also the Property Chairperson. I have been boating for 28 years and presently own a 23 foot Grady White.

Education: BS in Computer Engineering from Lehigh University in 1991

Present Employment: Software Engineering Manager, Hitachi Medical Systems.

Relevant Experience: WHP Board of Directors for 3 years (two years as Secretary and one year as President). Church council member for 12 years (Council President for 2 years, Vice President for 1 year, Treasurer for 8 years, Property Chairperson for 11 years)

Goals as Board Member: Restore harmony to White Horse Park. Restore monthly meetings of the Board. Review current budget and HOA fees. Keep the members of the community well informed and involved in important decisions. Be courteous to all owners.

Position on Full Time Situation: I supported the grandfathering text amendment. I signed a letter that was sent to the County in September 2019 stating this position. If the County had allowed this, it would have been the best compromise for all. We wouldn't still be fighting in court and spending lots of money on lawyers. I firmly believe that there is enough support for the necessary 2/3 of the owners to approve such a grandfathering change to our declarations. However, the County had to approve it first and they didn't. This also would not have required upgrades, because over time no more full occupancy would have existed.

In December 2019 WHP intervened in the court case between the group that hired Mr. Cropper and the County. I am not sure this was the best move because it escalated the situation. After that Mr. Cropper added WHP as a defendant. Unfortunately, now that WHP is a defendant, I don't believe we can change this course. This outcome is up to the court.

It is the Board's duty to uphold the declarations. The Board should have to prove the owner used WHP as his/her principal residence. Also, requiring 3 of the documents listed to prove you have another principal residence is beyond what should be required by an owner to prove compliance with this clause. Furthermore, owners in violation of this clause should not be prevented from attending meetings and voting in elections.

Please feel free to contact me with any questions: brianfenst@netzero.com